



Salisbury Conservation Commission
February 18, 2015
Colchester Auditorium, Town Hall
5 Beach Road
Salisbury, MA 01952
7:00 P.M.

COMMISSIONER MEMBERS PRESENT: Chairman Sheila Albertelli (SA), Matt Carignan (MC), Joanne Perreault (JP) and Jane Purinton (JPK), David Arel (DA)

COMMISSIONER MEMBERS ABSENT: Andria Nemoda

ALSO PRESENT: Michelle Rowden, Conservation Agent, Lori Robertson, Secretary

S. Albertelli opened the meeting at 7:10 pm under the Wetlands Protection Act & Open Meeting Law and informed the public that the meeting is being recorded.

MINUTES:
February 4, 2015

JP motions to continue the minutes of the February 4, 2015 meeting. **JKP** seconded the motion. Vote on motion 3 – 0 - 2 abstained (MC and DA). **Motion Passed.**

PUBLIC HEARINGS at 7:15 pm:

SA stated per the request of the applicants, they wished to move agenda item #2 to #1.

JKP motioned to move agenda items #2 to #1. **MC** seconded the motion. All members present voted in favor. **Motion passed.**

Brendan Doherty for 82 Lafayette Road: Chris York (CY), Millennium Engineering addressed the board on behalf of the applicant. We have gone back and forth with Mary Rimmer and Joe Serwatka a number of times. We have satisfied all of their comments. Joe has one outstanding comment. Mary has a few comments. I brought in new plans this morning. **MR** stated Mary is all set with the changes. **MR** stated I would work with the applicant and Mary Rimmer for a replication bond.

JP motioned to approve the Notice of Intent for Brendan Doherty for 82 Lafayette Road with the condition that the performance bond will be secured prior to the start of work for the replication areas. That it gets through two full growing seasons. **MC** seconded the motion. Vote on motion 4 – 0 (1 abstained DA). **Motion Passed.**

NOI: Jay Davis, 12 Wyman Greely Street: Matt Steinnel (MS) of Millennium Engineering addressed the board on behalf of the applicant. We addressed the comments from Mary Rimmer on this current plan. We haven't been able to address the comments of DEP. We have tried numerous times to get in contact with DEP without success. We have moved and rotated the house, made the house smaller and tightened it up against the lot line. There was a concern about safety. The Fire and Police Department have both submitted letters indicating they have no concern for safety down there. DPW also wanted to talk with me. I spoke with Don Levesque and he indicated that he does not want to maintain the roadway. I told him there were no metal structures in the roadway. Mary Rimmer indicated that during the installation of utilities we need to dewater. We added a dewatering detail. We were asked to mitigate as much of the clearing. We took out the turnaround at the end. We rotated the utilities. We moved the utility chase. Mary Rimmer believes we are not exempt from the storm water

standards. We submitted a new plan and Mary Rimmer has another round of comments on this plan. We have a note for grass on the plan. She felt that dune grass would be more appropriate. We will change that. She also commented that the project didn't have any permanent markers. We have the proposed bushes. We could put something more permanent. Mary Rimmer also had a comment about fill. We don't expect that this is going to disturb the ground enough that we need to bring in fill. Mary Rimmer doesn't think under storm water management standards is questionable given the extent of vegetation. We don't believe that we need to do storm water management at all. We believe we meet the requirement because the road exists. The last comment is if the driveway has adequate turn around making it likely that there will be impacts due to clearing beyond that shown the plans. **MC** asked for a description on dewatering. **MS** gave an explanation. **MC** asked about the turnaround. **MS** stated we believe there is enough room to back out. **MC** asked about the abutter's fence. **MS** stated it will have to be removed. **SA** stated the abutter did come by and dropped more pictures off. It is pictures of the snow. **SA** stated I think Mary Rimmer is stating that the turnaround concern is for the equipment vehicles coming in to do the work. **MS** stated we will have the proper erosion control in place. I can't speak to people that will live there. We could put a bound in. **SA** stated at this point I don't think there will be anything more the commission will be requesting. We would look to close the hearing. **MC** asked about fill, and if it would be brought in. **MS** stated our intent is not to. **MC** stated that he would not be in attendance at the March 4th meeting. **MS** stated I just want to make sure we have a quorum for that meeting. **DA** asked who would be responsible for the proposed sewer pump? **MS** stated the town owns the sewer as it starts in the paved section. The applicant/owner would be responsible for his/her own pump. **DA** asked about the snow storage area? **MS** stated we had it in two areas. We cut it down into one storage area. **DA** stated I was down there today and wondered about all the snow being piled on the utilities. **MS** stated it's similar to a cast iron man-hold cover. **MC** asked if this would be the primary residence for the current owner. Audience – Jay Davis stated it depends. **MC** stated my question, is a concern about all the restrictions we will have to put on this property. **MS** stated it wouldn't be unusual for restrictions on the deed.

JP motioned to close the public hearing for Jay Davis, 12 Wyman Greely Street. It will give us the opportunity to look at the plan for more detail. **JKP** seconded the motion. Vote on motion 3-in favor. 1-opposed (MC) and 1 abstained (DA). **Motion passed.**

RDA: Daniel and Deborah Dastoli, 66 Brissette Avenue: Ron Laffley (RL) of Fulcrum Architects addressed the board on behalf of the applicant. My clients has lived there since 1983. The proposed project is to build an addition. We will have 3 timbers that will be hand-dug 24" holes. Primarily because the utilities are located around that. I'm treating this as a flood zone.

MC motioned to issue a negative determination for 66 Brissette Avenue. **JP** seconded the motion. All members present voted in favor 5 - 0. **Motion passed.**

NOI: Flaminio Lanzillo, 188 Lafayette Road: Matt Steinnel (MS) of Millennium Engineering addressed the board. (This property was formally boots and saddles). My client is planning on opening an Italian restaurant. We are proposing the construction of a new septic system to replace and existing undersized septic system. He will remove a portion of his existing paved parking lot and to install a 5,269 s/f Presby Enviro-Septic leach field, retaining wall, septic tanks, pump chambers, and grease trap. The Health Department will allow them to use the existing septic system now. If there becomes a problem we will have to put this new one in. We are hoping for sewer to come up the street. We had Mark West conduct a site walk in December. We are proposing silt sock for erosion control. Discussion about when sewer would be coming in the area. **JP** asked if the project has a DEP file. **MS** stated yes, we sent it. It maybe on someone's desk. **DA** asked what the past issues have been with the mobile home park. Any issues? **MS** stated yes, many. **DA** stated is there a concern for saturation? **MS** stated no. Title 5 says leach fields need to be a minimum of 20' apart. Pulled up google earth to show the property. **MS** stated looks like there is a long patch there. **DA** asked if the land behind is buildable? **MS** stated it's landlocked. I don't like to say that is unbuildable. **SA** stated we don't have a

DEP number so we can't vote. **DA** asked about the trenching in the back area? **MR** stated she would look into that. I will contact Jack Morris from the Board of Health.

JP motioned to continue Flaminio Lanzillo, 188 Lafayette Road to the March 4, 2015 at 7:10 pm. Seconded by **JKP**. All members present voted in favor. **Motion passed.**

20 Dock Lane-no action

Salisbury Woods-no action

2 Broadway-no action

44 Lafayette Road-no action

100 Elm Street-no action

28 CCC Road: no action

20 Forest Road: no action

COMMISSIONERS COMMENT:

ADJOURNMENT:

JKP motioned to adjourn at 9:03 p.m. **JP** seconded the motion. All members present voted in favor. **Motion Passed.**